Theoretical Statistics, STOR 655 Consistency of Maximum Likelihood Estimator

Andrew Nobel

February 2023

# The Maximum Likelihood Estimator

## Maximum Likelihood Estimation: Setting

- ► Statistical model: Family  $\mathcal{P} = \{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$  of densities on measurable space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  with reference measure  $\nu$
- Assume parameter set  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$

Let  $P_{\theta}$  = probability measure on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  with density  $f(x|\theta)$ , so

$$P_{\theta}(A) = \int_{A} f(x|\theta) d\nu(x)$$

• Observe  $X_1, X_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{X}$  iid where  $X \sim P_{\theta_0}$  with  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  unknown

• Goal: Point estimate of parameter  $\theta_0$  based on  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ 

## Maximum Likelihood Estimator

**Definition:** Given  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$ 

- Likelihood function  $L_n(\theta) = L_n(\theta | x_1^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta)$
- ► Log likelihood function  $\ell_n(\theta) = \ell_n(\theta | x_1^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i | \theta)$
- Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) is any function  $\hat{\theta}_n : \mathcal{X}^n \to \Theta$  s.t.

$$\hat{ heta}_n(x_1^n) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{ heta \in \Theta} L_n( heta) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{ heta \in \Theta} \ell_n( heta)$$

**Consistency:** Does  $\hat{\theta}_n(X_1^n) \to \theta_0$  wp1 as  $n \to \infty$ ?

## Uniform LLN for an Indexed Family of Functions

## Semicontinuous Functions

**Definition:** Suppose  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ . A function  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is upper semicontinous (usc) at a point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$  if

$$\limsup_{x \to x_0} f(x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left\{ \sup_{x \in B(x_0, \delta)} f(x) \right\} = f(x_0)$$

- Equivalently, for every ε > 0 there exist δ > 0 such that ||x − x<sub>0</sub>|| < δ implies f(x) ≤ f(x<sub>0</sub>) + ε
- Equivalently,  $\limsup_n f(x_n) \leq f(x_0)$  for every sequence  $x_n \to x_0$

The function f is use if it is use at each point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$ 

**Definition:** A function  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  is *lower semicontinous* (lsc) if -f is usc

#### Semicontinuous Functions, cont.

**Fact:** Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  where  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ 

- 1. *f* is usc iff upper level sets  $\{x : f(x) \ge \alpha\}$  are closed for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$
- 2. If f is use then it achieves its maximum value on any compact set
- 3. If  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$  are usc, then so is  $f = \inf_n f_n$
- 4. f is continuous iff it is usc and lsc

Examples of upper semi-continuous functions

- $f(x) = \mathbb{I}(x \in C)$  where C is closed
- $f(x) = \sin(1/x)\mathbb{I}(x \neq 0) + \mathbb{I}(x = 0)$

f continuous

#### Indexed Family of Functions

**Definition:** Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  be an index set, and let  $U : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  be s.t.

1.  $U(x, \gamma)$  is measurable in x for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

2.  $U(x, \gamma)$  is use in  $\gamma$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(\cdot, \gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , family of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  indexed by  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ 

**Definition:** A function  $K : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$  is an envelope for  $\mathcal{U}$  if for every x

 $\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |U(x,\gamma)| \, \le \, K(x)$ 

**Proposition:** If (1) and (2) hold and  $\mathcal{U}$  has envelope K such that  $\mathbb{E}K(X) < \infty$  then  $\mu(\gamma) := \mathbb{E}[U(X, \gamma)]$  is use on  $\Gamma$ 

#### One-Sided Uniform Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

**Thm:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be iid. Suppose that (1) and (2) hold and

- (3)  $\Gamma$  is compact
- (4)  $\mathcal{U}$  has envelope K such that  $\mathbb{E}K(X) < \infty$

(5)  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\sup_{\gamma' \in B(\gamma, \delta)} U(x, \gamma')$  is measurable in x

Then we have a one-sided LLN

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U(X_i, \gamma) \leq \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbb{E}U(X, \gamma)$$

**Cor:** If  $U(x, \gamma)$  is continuous in  $\gamma$  for every x, then

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} U(X_i, \gamma) - \mathbb{E}U(X, \gamma) \right| \to 0 \text{ wp1 as } n \to \infty$$

#### Examples

1. Sinusoids. Index set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  compact, function  $U : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$U(x,\gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^d \sin(2\pi x_i \gamma_i)$$

2. Neural Network. Index set  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  compact, function  $U : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$U(x,\gamma) = \tanh\left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\gamma_i + \gamma_0
ight)$$

Consistency of MLE

## Consistency of MLE: Basic Assumptions

**Given:** Family  $\mathcal{P} = \{f(x|\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$  of densities on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A})$  with reference measure  $\nu$ . Let  $P_{\theta}$  = distribution with density  $f(x|\theta)$ . Assume

(1)  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$  is compact

(2)  $f(x|\theta)$  is use in  $\theta$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ 

- (3) There is a measurable envelope  $K : \mathcal{X} \to [0, \infty)$  such that  $|\log(f(x|\theta)/f(x|\theta_0))| \le K(x)$  for every x and  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}K(X) < \infty$
- (4) Identifiability: If  $\theta \neq \theta_0$  then  $P_{\theta} \neq P_{\theta_0}$

(5)  $\forall \theta \in \Theta \ \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\sup_{\theta' \in B(\theta, \delta)} f(x|\theta')$  is measurable in x

## Consistency of MLE

**Theorem:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{X}$  be iid with  $X_i \sim f(x|\theta_0) \in \mathcal{P}$ . If assumptions (1)-(5) hold then

- 1. MLE  $\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell_n(\theta)$  exists
- 2. For any sequence  $\hat{\theta}_n$  of MLE we have  $\hat{\theta}_n(X_1^n) \to \theta_0$  wp1

Remarks

- MLE need not be measurable, but can be chosen to be so
- Envelope condition (3) cannot be dropped (see text)
- lf  $f(x|\theta)$  is continuous in  $\theta$  for every x, then (2) and (5) are satisfied