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## Predicates and Quantifiers

## Predicates

Definition: A domain $U$ is a set of objects $x$ of interest.

Definition: A predicate $P$ for $U$ is a property of the objects $x \in U$ such that every $x \in U$ has $P$, or does not have $P$, but not both.

Think: Predicate $P \Leftrightarrow$ set of $x \in U$ having property $P$

Propositional function: If $P$ is a predicate and $x$ is in $U$ then

$$
P(x)=\text { proposition "object } x \text { has property } P \text { " }
$$

Thus $P(x)$ is T if $x$ has property $P$, and $P(x)$ is F otherwise.

## Examples of Predicates

Example: Domain $U=$ all undergraduates at UNC

- Predicate $P$ is the property of being a Senior
- Predicate $Q$ is property of being enrolled in STOR 215
- $P(\mathrm{Bob})=$ "Bob is a Senior at UNC"
- $Q$ (Kelly $)=$ "Kelly is enrolled in STOR 215"


## Examples of Predicates

Example: Even numbers

- Set $U=$ positive integers $\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$
- Predicate $P$ is the property of being even
- $P(x)=$ " $x$ is even"


## Example: Perfect squares

- Set $U=$ positive integers $\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$
- Predicate $Q$ is the property of being a perfect square
- $Q(x)=$ " $x$ is a perfect square"


## More Exotic Example

Example: Pythagorean triples

- Set $U=$ all triples $(x, y, z)$ of positive integers
- Predicate $P$ is the property of being a Pythagorean triple
- $P(x)$ is the statement $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$


## Quantifiers

Two flavors

- Universal: $\forall$ means "for all"
- Existential: $\exists$ means "there exists"

Definition: Let $P$ be a predicate on a domain $U$

- $\forall x P(x)$ is a proposition that is T if and only if $P(x)$ is T for each $x$ in $U$
- $\exists x P(x)$ is a proposition that is T if and only if $P(x)$ is T for some $x$ in $U$

In other words

- $\forall x P(x)$ is true if every element of $U$ has property $P$
- $\exists x P(x)$ is true if some element of $U$ has property $P$


## Quantifiers, cont.

Note: If domain $U=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ is finite, then

- $\forall x P(x) \equiv P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge P\left(x_{n}\right)$
- $\exists x P(x) \equiv P\left(x_{1}\right) \vee P\left(x_{2}\right) \vee \cdots \vee P\left(x_{n}\right)$

Note: Truth of $\forall x P(x)$ and $\exists x P(x)$ depends on domain $U$

- Domains: $U=$ positive integers, $V=\{2,4,6,8\}$
- Predicates: $P=$ even, $Q=$ perfect square


## Expressions with Quantifiers

Note: Quantifiers $\exists, \forall$ have higher precedence than other logical operations

Definitions: In an expression with quantifiers

- A variable $x$ is bound if it is the subject of a quantifier, and free otherwise
- If all variables in an expression are bound, it is a proposition. Otherwise, it is a new predicate.
- The scope of a quantifier is the set of predicates to which it applies.


## Example

- $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$
- $\forall x P(x) \vee Q(y)$


## Logical Equivalence

Definition: Two propositions with quantifiers are logically equivalent if they have the same truth value, whatever the choice of predicates and domains.

## Example

- $\forall x \forall y R(x, y) \equiv \forall y \forall x R(x, y)$ (and similarly for $\exists$ )
- $\forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \equiv \forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$
- $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x) \quad$ (De Morgan)
- $\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x) \quad$ (De Morgan)


## But note...

- $\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \not \equiv \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$
- $\exists x \forall y R(x, y) \not \equiv \forall y \exists x R(x, y)$


## Translation with Operations and Quantifiers

General rules: Domain $U$ with elements $x$

- $\forall=$ for all, all, every $x \in U$
- $\exists=$ there exists, there is, some, at least one $x \in U$
- $\wedge=$ and,$\vee=$ or, $\neg=$ not
- $p \rightarrow q=$ if $p$ then $q$, or $p$ is sufficient for $q$, or $q$ is necessary for $p$


## Translation Example

Domain $U=$ all students at UNC. Consider the following predicates

$$
C=\text { taking STOR } 215
$$

$E=$ speaks English, $F=$ speaks French, $G=$ speaks Greek

- All students at UNC speak English
- Some UNC students are taking 215
- Every 215 student speaks French
- Some 215 student speaks Greek
- No 215 student speaks Greek
- Some 215 student speaks French and Greek
- Every 215 student who speaks French also speaks Greek
- Some 215 student does not speak French

Nested Quantifiers

## Expressions with Nested Quantifiers

Given: Predicates $P(x, y)$ and $R(x, y, z)$

## Examples

- $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$
- $\exists x \forall y(P(x, y) \vee \exists z R(x, y, z))$

Note: these expressions are propositions (they have no free variables), so they are either T or F

## Two Examples

A. Let $U=(-\infty, \infty)$ and $Q(x, y): y^{2} \geq 2 x+1$

- $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$
- $\exists x \forall y Q(x, y)$
- $\exists y \forall x Q(x, y)$
- $\forall x \forall y Q(x, y)$
B. Let $U=$ all students at UNC and $K(x, y)=x$ knows $y$
- $\exists y \forall x K(x, y)$
- $\forall x \exists y K(x, y)$
- $\forall x \forall y(K(x, y) \rightarrow K(y, x))$


## Negation of Expressions with Nested Quantifiers

Arises in many mathematical arguments, e.g., proofs by contradiction and proofs by contraposition.

Idea: Successively apply De Morgan's laws for (i) quantifiers and (ii) compound propositions.

Example: Negation of $\forall x \exists y Q(x, y)$

Example: Negation of $\exists x \forall y(Q(x, y) \vee \exists z R(x, y, z))$

## Translation with Nested Quantifiers

## Example

- The product of two positive numbers is positive.
- Negation of this proposition.


## Example

- Every positive number is the square of some non-zero number.
- Negation of this proposition.


## Example

- Every positive number is the square of a unique positive number.
- Negation of this proposition.


## Introduction to Proofs

## Theorems and Proofs

Definition: A theorem is a true mathematical statement. The argument establishing the truth of a theorem is called a proof. Standard forms:
A. Proposition $p$ (Ex: $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.)

- Direct: directly establish truth of $p$
- Contradiction: assume $\neg p$ and derive a contradiction.
B. Implication $p \rightarrow q$ (Ex: If $m, n$ are even, so is $m n$.)
- Direct: assume $p$ and then show $q$
- Contraposition: assume $\neg q$ and then show $\neg p$
C. Biconditional $p \leftrightarrow q$ ( $\mathrm{Ex}: n^{2}$ is even if and only if $n$ is even.)
- Direct: establish chain of equivalences between $p$ and $q$
- First show $p \rightarrow q$ then show $q \rightarrow p$


## Terminology Used in Mathematical Practice

- A Theorem is major or important result
- A Proposition is minor, less important result
- A Lemma is a supporting result, used in the proof of a theorem or proposition
- A Corollary is an immediate or easy consequence of a theorem or proposition


## Odd, Even, and Rational Numbers

## Notation:

- Positive Integers $\mathbb{N}_{+}=\{1,2, \ldots\}$
- Natural numbers $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$
- Integers $\mathbb{Z}=\{\ldots,-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}$
- Rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}=\{a / b: a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \neq 0\}$
- Real numbers $\mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$


## Definition

- An integer $n$ is even if $n=2 k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$
- An integer $n$ is odd if $n=2 k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$


## Products of Odd and Even numbers

Fact: The product of two odd integers is odd.

Corollary: If $n$ is odd then $n^{2}$ is odd.

Fact: If $n^{2}$ is even then $n$ is even.

Fact: Let $n$ be any integer. The following statements are equivalent:
(1) $n$ is even
(2) $n+1$ is odd
(3) $n^{2}$ is even

## Proof by Contradiction

## Goal: Establish proposition $p$

Idea: Assume $p$ is false and derive a contradiction

## Formally

- Establish truth of $\neg p \rightarrow F$
- Conclude $\neg p$ is false, so $p$ is true.

Fact: The square root of 2 is irrational.

## Proof Methods and Strategy

## Exhaustive proofs, proofs by cases

A. Exhaustive proof: Sufficient to consider and check a small number of examples.

Fact: There is no solution in integers of the equation $x^{2}+2 y^{4}=8$.
B. Proof by cases: To establish $p \rightarrow q$ express $p=p_{1} \vee \cdots \vee p_{k}$ as a disjunction of cases $p_{j}$ and then establish $p_{j} \rightarrow q$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$.

Fact: The last digit of a perfect square is $0,1,4,5,6$, or 9

## Existence Proof

Goal: Establish proposition of the form $\exists x P(x)$.

- Constructive: Exhibit $x$ such that $P(x)$ is true.
- Non-constructive: Establish truth of $\exists x P(x)$ without exhibiting a specific $x$ for which $P(x)$ is true.

Fact: There is an irrational number $x$ such that $x^{x}$ is rational.

Fact: If the average $\bar{a}$ of $n$ numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ is greater than $\alpha$, then at least one of the numbers is greater than $\alpha$.

